

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/710,753	07/30/2004	Dinesh R. Patel	68.0505	4752	
35204 75	90 08/11/2006		EXAMINER		
	RGER RESERVOIR CO	HARCOURT, BRAD			
14910 AIRLINI ROSHARON, 7		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3672		
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Apı	olication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10	10/710,753 PATEL, DINESH R.		R.			
		Exa	miner	Art Unit				
			d Harcourt	3672				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failur Any re	PRIENT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE NOTION SIDE OF THE MEDICAL STATES AND	AAILING DATE of Sof 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. tatutory period will apply will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUI In no event, however, may by and will expire SIX (6) May the application to become	VICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
, _	•		nn is non-final					
′—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	on of Claims	ioo anaor Ex pa	no quayro, roco o					
_								
•	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
' <u>—</u>	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	otion and/or also	dian manuinamant					
ا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restri	cuon and/or elec	ction requirement.					
Application	on Papers ,				•			
9)🖾 🗆	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)🖾 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 October 2</u>	<u>2004</u> is/are: a)∑	accepted or b)	objected to by the Examir	ner.			
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawi	ng(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is	required if the drawing	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)[] 7	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examin	er. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of:	for foreign prior	ity under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents hav	e been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents hav	e been received in	Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority de	ocuments have bee	en received in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internation	onal Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).					
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the	e certified copies n	ot received.				
Attachment	•							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)			w Summary (PTO-413)				
· <u></u>	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	•	· · ·	o(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152\			
	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/05/2004</u> .	F10/58/08)	6) Other: _	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0- 1 <i>32)</i>			

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention and commensurate with the method to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 7, and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Williamson (US Patent No. 6,668,936).

Williamson discloses a hydraulic control system comprising four valve assemblies 12, 14, 16 and 18; a hydraulic control module 32; and hydraulic fluid line 36 which actuates valves 12, 14, 16 and 18 by receiving fluid from hydraulic control module 32. All of the assemblies act as flow valves since they each correspond to a respective production zone and each valve also prevents cross flow between its respective formation and any other formations. In reference to claims 4 and 5, Williamson discloses that the system could be "intersecting any number of zones" (col. 3, line 24) and thereby could have any number of flow valves all of which are actuated by the hydraulic control device. It is also disclosed that this system can be used to "regulate a rate of production from a zone, to regulate a rate of fluid injection into a zone, etc. (col.

Art Unit: 3672

8, lines 27-29). In reference to claims 2 and 20, it is understood that the flow and cross flow valves both are multi position valves. In reference to claims 3, 21 and 23, it is inherent that if a hydraulic control line actuated a valve, the actuating step would be a pressure cycle and the valves would be actuated when the hydraulic pressure rose above a certain pressure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 8-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williamson (US Patent No. 6,668,936) in view of Murray (US Patent No. 8,862,865).

Williamson discloses most of the limitations of the claims, but does not disclose using a flapper valve and sleeve valves together. Murray discloses a gas lift system comprising a gas lift system comprising a flapper valve 16 and a sleeve valve 30 that are controlled by "existing control line connections" (col. 1, line 53). Said flapper comprises a flapper18 which is actuated by a piston 26 that receives pressure from pressure chamber 28 and is biased closed by spring 24. Sleeve valve 30 comprises a sleeve 32, port 34 and a piston 40 which receives pressure from pressure conduit 42. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include sleeve valves and a flapper valve on the hydraulic control system of

Application/Control Number: 10/710,753

Art Unit: 3672

Williamson in view of Murray to better regulate or prevent cross flow between formations. In reference to claims 11 and 12, the flapper is biased closed by spring 24 and would only open when enough hydraulic pressure is exerted on piston 26.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Berzin (US Patent No. 5,271,462), Patel (US Patent No. 6,227,298) and Campbell (US Patent No. 6,997,263) all disclose systems for isolating production zones from each other.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brad Harcourt whose telephone number is 571-272-7303. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3672

BH 7/28/2006